WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	Uı	nited States of America v.	ORDER OF	DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Jes	sus Maria Felix-Enriquez	Case Number:	13-1644M
		ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 owing facts are established:	3 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention (Check one or both, as applicable.)	n hearing has been held. I conclude
X	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.			
	-	ndant pending trial in this case	-	sk and require the detention of the
×	(1)		pelieve that the defendant has	committed
		an offense for which a in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 e	maximum term of imprisonments seq., 951 et seq., or 46 U.S.	ent of ten years or more is prescribed C. App. § 1901 et seq.
			J.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2	
		an offense listed in 18 maximum term of imp	BU.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Feorisonment of ten years or mo	deral crimes of terrorism) for which a re is prescribed.
		an offense involving a	$oldsymbol{n}$ minor victim prescribed in $oldsymbol{w}$	1
	(2)	The defendant has not rebute combination of conditions with and the safety of the community	Il reasonably assure the appe	hed by finding 1 that no condition or earance of the defendant as required
			Alternative Findings	
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions we reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.		
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and community.		assure the safety of others and the
	(3)	There is a serious risk that th injure, or intimidate a prospe		tempt to obstruct justice; or threaten,
			TATEMENT OF REASONS F (Check one or both, as applicable.)	FOR DETENTION
	(1)	and convincing evidence as In addition to the unrebutted	to danger that: <pre>presumption, Defendant was</pre> he was driving. In addition,	d at the hearing establishes by clear arrested with nearly \$500,000 worth his prior criminal history and current

¹Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnapping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2244(a)(1) (abusive sexual contact), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate

Case 2:13-mj-01644-JFM Document 9 Filed 05/28/13 Page 2 of 3

\bowtie	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:		
	\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.		
		The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
		If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.		
	\boxtimes	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
	\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
		The defendant lives in Mexico.		
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
		Unless safety valve eligible, the defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of 10 years incarceration and a maximum of		
	Defe	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: ndant seeks release based upon his ties to the community which include a step-son and son who nited States citizens.		
	As a	In addition: <u>As a LPR Defendant faces deportation if convicted which adds to the risk of flight for the reaso explained on the record.</u>		

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 28th day of May, 2013.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge